

## UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/379,760	3 08/24/	99 BESSON	М	BESSON-ET-AL	
			7	EXAMINER	
EDWARD R FREEDMAN			GE <sup>-</sup>	TZOW,S	
1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN NY 11576		/ARD	ART UN	PAPER NUMBER	
1 ( m m m 1 1 9 1 9 1	at at the Artist		378	52	
			DATE MAIL	<b>ED:</b> 07/19/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 





Application No.

09/379,763

Applican (s

Besson et al

Examiner

Scott M. Getzow

Group Art Unit 3762



□ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 24, 1999	,	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to rapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the	
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 46-111	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
	is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under ST and ST	to by the Examiner isapproveddisapproved.  der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the ☐ received.	e priority documents have been	
<ul> <li>☒ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe</li> <li>☐ received in this national stage application from the Interesting the the I</li></ul>	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	·	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES	



Art Unit: 3737

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 46-111 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 5,957,854. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differences between the patented claims and the claims of the present application are obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997.

smg

July 18, 2000

